#### Autonomous Robot Dancing Driven by Beats and Emotions of Music

Gus (Guangyu) Xia Junyun Tay Roger Dannenberg Manuela Veloso

Carnegie Mellon University, AAMAS2012



### **Introduction: Goal**

- Context: Many robot dances are preprogrammed
- Idea: How about automating the task?
- Our goal:

Given a piece of music, we want to automatically generate a robot dance

The dance should be interesting, safe, reflecting the emotion, and synchronized to the beats of music.

#### Introduction: General approach



# Notice: the dancing plan is created offline, and then executed and synchronized with music audio

# Outline

- Introduction
- Motion primitives
- Music content analysis
- Dancing plan
- Execution
- Demo
- Conclusion

# Motion primitives (MPs)

#### Robot we use

- NAO
- Stand-alone autonomous robot
- 21 joints
- Four catalogs: Head, Left Arm, Right Arm, Legs
- For each catalog, we build its own MPs library



# Motion primitives: properties

- Large variety of combinations
- Allow speed change
- Safe to execute
- Convey emotions

# **Motion primitives: Variety**

- In order to maximize variety of the combination of Motion Primitives:
- Design the library for four catalogs independently
  - Head: 8, Left Arm: 9, Right Arm: 9, Legs: 26
- Execute the four catalogs simultaneously
- Though we only designed 52 MPs in total, there are thousands of possible combinations at each time while execution.

# **Motion primitives: Parameterization**

Motion primitive = sequence of keyframes

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

- In order to allow speed change:
- A single stretching parameter  $\beta$
- If t<sub>1</sub> =0, the parameterized motion primitive is

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 & K_3 & K_4 & K_5 \\ t_1 & \beta t_2 & \beta t_3 & \beta t_4 & \beta t_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### **Motion primitives: Safety**

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

- In order to make sure MPs are safe execute:
- Define Minimum Interpolation Time (MIT) between keyframes and force execution time larger than MIT
- Case 1: Within a MP:
  - MIT is designed as difference between two contiguous time stamps, so that  $\beta\,$  is no less than 1
- Case 2: Between two MPs:
  - MIT is from the last keyframe of current MP to the first keyframe of next MP:  $dist_M(M_n, M_{n+1})$

# Motion primitives: Emotion Label

- In order to get conveyed emotions:
- Automatically label the emotion of MPs based on a pre-labeled keyframe library
- For each keyframe
- ...find nearest static pose in our pre-labled library, return their emotions
- Use a weighted sum of emotions to estimate emotion of the motion primitive

#### **Example: Static Postures Vs. MPs**

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Music Content Analysis**

- We want to extract emotion and beat times from music, to use as cues for the robot dance.
- MPs should convey the emotions of music
- MPs should be synchronized with the beat of music

### **Music Content Analysis: Emotion**

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Byeong-jun Han, et al. (2009) SMERS: Music Emotion Recognition by using SVR

One more step: use a 30-seond sliding window to compute the trajectory of music emotion

Robot Dance - AAMAS2012

#### A/V value of emotions on the 2-D plane

Emotion	Activation	Valence
Нарру	1	1
Sad	-1	-1
Angry	1	-1
Surprised	1	0
Fear	0.5	-1
Disgust	-0.5	-0.5

• Metric of emotion similarity:  $dist_{E}(E(M), e)$ 

- The Euclidian distance on the 2-D plane
- E(M) : emotion of the motion primitive M
- *e* : emotion of the music

#### **Music Content Analysis: Beat**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Periodicity estimation using autocorrelation Search for roughly equally spaced peaks using DP

Dan Ellis (2007), Beat Tracking by Dynamic Programming

# **Dancing Plan**

- We have got music information and MPs
- Combine them to generate a sequence of MPs
- Nondeterministic, Smooth, Emotional, Synchronized
- Solution: Sampling from a stochastic process

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

• A generative model, sequentially generating MPs by drawing samples from  $p(M_{n+1} | M_n, e_{n+1})$ 

# **Dancing Plan: To define** $p(M_{n+1} | M_n, e_{n+1})$

- Nondeterministic
- Smooth:
  - Continuity from one motion primitive to the next
  - Continuity Factor:  $CF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\{-\frac{dist_M^2(M_n, M_{n+1})}{2\sigma_M^2}\}$
- Emotional:
  - Considering the music, MPs should reflect the emotion of music.
  - Emotion Factor :  $EF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\{-\frac{dist_E^2(E(M_n), e_n)}{2\sigma_E^2}\}$
- **Definition:**  $p(M_{n+1} | M_n, e_{n+1}) = CF \cdot EF \cdot N$
- Synchronized: stretch selected MP, making its last keyframe end on a beat time

Robot Dance - AAMAS2012

## Dancing Plan: Review

- For each catalog, we iteratively:
  - Get the detected emotion at the end of current motion primitive,  $e_{n+1}$
  - Draw a new motion primitive,  $M_{n+1}$ , from the generative distribution  $p(M_{n+1} | M_n, e_{n+1})$
  - Stretch  $M_{n+1}$  to end on nearest future beat time

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Execution

- Even if the planned timing is perfect, there are latency and other execution time errors
- Solution: Real time synchronization algorithm to overcome time drifting
- At each step while execution, iteratively:
  - Check the timing and then re-schedule next step

#### Video Demo

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Conclusion and acknowledgements**

#### Conclusion

- An approach to automate robot dancing, based on matching parameterized MPs to music features
- Nondeterministic, Smooth, Emotional, and Synchronized with music
- A complete demonstration with a NAO humanoid robot with multiple pieces of music.
- The scheme generalizes to other robots
- Acknowledgements
  - Byeong-jun Han
  - Somchaya Liemhetcharat

M<sub>r</sub>

#### Synchronized with music beats

- Just stretch the selected motion primitive, making its last keyframe ending on a beat time
- Make sure:
  - Interval is no less than  $dist_M(M_n, M_{n+1})$
  - $\beta$  is no less than 1

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)